
```
<SUBMISSION>
  <TYPE> 6-K
  <FILER>
    <CIK> 0001027539
    <CCC> xxxxxxxx
  </FILER>
  <PERIOD> 09/30/2005
  <SROS> NASD
  <SUBMISSION-CONTACT>
    <NAME> EDGAR Advantage Service Team
    <PHONE> (800) 688 - 1933
  </SUBMISSION-CONTACT>
```

DOC 1

<Name> 6-K

<Description> Current report of foreign issuer

DOC 2

<Name> EX-1

<Description> Underwriting Agreement

DOC 3

<Name> EX-2

<Description> Plan of acquisition, reorganization, arrangement, liquidation or
succession

DOC 1 Header

FORM 6-K

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Issuer Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report **December 8, 2005**

NOVATEL INC.

Commission File No. **0-29004**

1120 - 68th Avenue N.E., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2E 8S5
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.
Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): Yes
No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): Yes
No

Indicate by check mark whether by furnishing the information contained in this Form, the Registrant is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82-N/A.

A copy of the Registrant's Quarterly Report (including financial statements) for the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 is furnished herewith and is incorporated by reference into the following Registration Statements:

- Registration Statement on Form S-8 #333-6500
- Registration Statement on Form S-8 #333-6502
- Registration Statement on Form S-8 #333-9216
- Registration Statement on Form S-8 #333-98603

EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report on Form 6-K:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Document</u>
(1)	Quarterly Report (including financial statements) of NovAtel Inc. for the nine month period ended September 30, 2005.
(2)	Certifications of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NOVATEL INC.

Dated: December 8, 2005

By: /s/ WERNER GARTNER

Name: Werner Gartner

Title: Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

DOC 2 Header



NOVATEL INC.

Quarterly Report

For the Nine Month Period Ended September 30, 2005

INDEX

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

• Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets — September 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004	4
• Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations - Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004	5
• Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders’ Equity Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005	6
• Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004	7
• Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23

The dollar amounts presented in this Quarterly Report are in Canadian currency unless otherwise noted (CDN \$1 = U.S 0.8464 on November 4, 2005), and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada. The material differences between Canadian and U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") which would bear upon the financial statements and, more particularly, income and shareholders' equity, are disclosed in Note 22 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed as part of Form 20-F with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and in Note 13 of Notes to condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004 contained in this report.

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report, including those about the Company's future plans and intentions, financial guidance, long-term growth prospects, levels of activity or other future events, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are not based on historical facts but rather on management's current expectations regarding NovAtel's future growth, results of operations, performance, future capital and other expenditures, competitive advantages, business prospects and opportunities. Wherever possible, words such as "anticipate", "believe", "expect", "may", "could", "will", "potential", "intend", "estimate", "should", "plan", "predict", "forecast" or the negative or other variations of these words, or similar words or phrases, have been used to identify these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve significant known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many factors could cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from the results discussed or implied in the forward-looking statements, including operating results of the Company's joint venture Point, Inc. ("Point"), impact and timing of large orders, U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar exchange rate fluctuations, establishing and maintaining effective distribution channels, timely launch of new products, certification and market acceptance of NovAtel's new products, impact and timing of large orders, credit risks of customers and the Company's joint venture Point, pricing pressures in the market and other competitive factors, maintaining technological leadership, timing of revenue recognition in connection with certain contracts, the ability to maintain supply of products from subcontract manufacturers, the procurement of components to build products, product defects, the impact of industry consolidations, vulnerability to general economic, market and business conditions, competition, environmental and other actions by governmental authorities, reliance on key personnel and other factors described in the Company's Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2004 and other SEC filings, many of which are beyond the control of NovAtel. These factors should be considered carefully and undue reliance should not be placed on the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this Quarterly Report, and NovAtel assumes no obligation to update or revise them to reflect new events or circumstances.

NOVATEL INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (unaudited)
(in thousands, Canadian dollars)

	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents (includes compensating balances of \$nil at September 30, 2005 and \$1,000 at December 31, 2004)	\$ 3,242	\$ 8,949
Short-term investments (includes compensating balances of \$4,158 at September 30, 2005 and \$3,158 at December 31, 2004)	26,803	14,410
Accounts receivable	9,506	9,413
Related party receivables (Note 9)	1,360	1,591
Related party notes receivable (Note 9)	1,568	1,614
Inventories (Note 3)	5,368	5,191
Prepaid expenses and deposits (Note 12)	3,916	268
Future income tax asset – current portion	1,924	1,286
Total current assets	53,687	42,722
Capital assets	3,176	3,447
Intangible assets (Notes 5 and 8)	2,813	2,515
Deferred development costs (Note 4)	1,772	2,359
Future income tax asset – long term portion	2,546	1,903
Total assets	\$ 63,994	\$ 52,946
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 8,657	\$ 9,148
Related party payables (Note 9)	4	345
Notes payable (Note 10)	1,568	1,614
Deferred revenue and customer deposits	354	755
Provision for future warranty costs	573	538
Total current liabilities	11,156	12,400
Deferred gain on sale/leaseback of capital assets	370	453
Total liabilities	11,526	12,853
Commitments, contingencies and guarantees (Note 8)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Capital stock (Note 6) (common shares issued and outstanding: 8,326 at September 30, 2005 and 8,260 at December 31, 2004)	39,338	38,870
Contributed surplus	764	442
Retained earnings	12,366	781
Total shareholders' equity	52,468	40,093
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 63,994	\$ 52,946

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOVATEL INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited)
(in thousands, except per share data, Canadian dollars)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Revenues: (Note 9)				
Product sales	\$ 13,791	\$ 12,646	\$ 44,805	\$ 35,097
NRE fees	504	1,131	2,333	4,464
Total revenues	<u>14,295</u>	<u>13,777</u>	<u>47,138</u>	<u>39,561</u>
Cost of sales: (Note 9)				
Cost of product sales	5,200	4,757	17,273	13,878
Cost of NRE fees	414	652	1,458	2,424
Total cost of sales	<u>5,614</u>	<u>5,409</u>	<u>18,731</u>	<u>16,302</u>
Gross profit	<u>8,681</u>	<u>8,368</u>	<u>28,407</u>	<u>23,259</u>
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	2,606	2,394	7,826	6,830
Selling and marketing	1,689	1,485	4,907	4,533
General and administration	1,520	1,325	5,154	3,935
Share offering costs	—	20	—	742
Total operating expenses	<u>5,815</u>	<u>5,224</u>	<u>17,887</u>	<u>16,040</u>
Operating income	2,866	3,144	10,520	7,219
Interest income, net	218	87	541	195
Other expense	(212)	(342)	(296)	(327)
Benefit of prior years' investment tax credits	—	—	1,036	—
Income from operations before income taxes	2,872	2,889	11,801	7,087
Income taxes				
Current provision	75	18	1,497	52
Future income tax expense (benefit)	(371)	—	(1,281)	—
Net income	<u>\$ 3,168</u>	<u>\$ 2,871</u>	<u>\$ 11,585</u>	<u>\$ 7,035</u>
Net income per share (basic)	<u>\$ 0.38</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>	<u>\$ 1.40</u>	<u>\$ 0.88</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic) (Note 6)	<u>8,304</u>	<u>8,069</u>	<u>8,280</u>	<u>8,033</u>
Net income per share (diluted)	<u>\$ 0.36</u>	<u>\$ 0.33</u>	<u>\$ 1.32</u>	<u>\$ 0.82</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted) (Note 6)	<u>8,818</u>	<u>8,584</u>	<u>8,777</u>	<u>8,562</u>

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOVATEL INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (unaudited)
(in thousands, Canadian dollars)

	<u>Common Shares</u>		<u>Contributed Surplus</u>	<u>Retained Earnings</u>	<u>Total Shareholders' Equity</u>
	<u>Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>			
Balance January 1, 2005	8,260	\$ 38,870	\$ 442	\$ 781	\$ 40,093
Common shares issued pursuant to exercise of stock options (Notes 6 and 11)	66	468	(68)	—	400
Stock-based compensation (Note 11)	—	—	390	—	390
Net income	—	—	—	11,585	11,585
Balance September 30, 2005	<u>8,326</u>	<u>\$ 39,338</u>	<u>\$ 764</u>	<u>\$ 12,366</u>	<u>\$ 52,468</u>

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOVATEL INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)
(in thousands, Canadian dollars)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Operating activities:				
Net income	\$ 3,168	\$ 2,871	\$ 11,585	\$ 7,035
Charges and credits to operations not involving an outlay of cash:				
Amortization	683	605	2,159	1,741
(Gain) loss on disposal of capital assets	—	5	4	(4)
Benefit of investment tax credits	—	—	(1,036)	—
Current income tax provision and future income tax benefit	(296)	—	132	—
Stock-based compensation expense (Note 11)	185	83	390	244
Share offering costs	—	20	—	742
Accretion on royalty payable to CMC related to GPS OEM product line acquisition	5	12	18	45
Amortization of deferred gain on sale/leaseback of capital assets	(27)	(29)	(83)	(86)
Net change in non-cash working capital related to operations (Note 7)	(393)	1,898	(1,756)	654
Cash provided by operating activities	<u>3,325</u>	<u>5,465</u>	<u>11,413</u>	<u>10,371</u>
Financing activities:				
Issuance of shares (Note 6)	312	19	400	418
Related party notes receivable	11	—	22	—
Notes payable	(11)	—	(22)	—
Receipts (payments) related to share offering costs	—	151	57	(512)
Repayment of capital lease obligations	—	(50)	—	(99)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>312</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>457</u>	<u>(193)</u>
Increase in cash before investing activities	<u>3,637</u>	<u>5,585</u>	<u>11,870</u>	<u>10,178</u>
Investing activities:				
Purchase of capital and intangible assets	(828)	(627)	(1,799)	(1,777)
Proceeds from disposal of capital assets	15	10	32	23
Purchase of short-term investments	(13,553)	(5,251)	(39,040)	(17,720)
Proceeds from short-term investments	11,489	750	26,647	10,300
Royalty payment relating to CMC Electronics OEM GPS Business	—	—	(117)	(136)
Deposit on acquisition of Waypoint Consulting Inc. (Note 12)	(3,050)	—	(3,300)	—
Capitalization of development costs	—	(62)	—	(207)
Cash used in investing activities	<u>(5,927)</u>	<u>(5,180)</u>	<u>(17,577)</u>	<u>(9,517)</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,290)	405	(5,707)	661
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	5,532	2,701	8,949	2,445
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 3,242</u>	<u>\$ 3,106</u>	<u>\$ 3,242</u>	<u>\$ 3,106</u>
Interest paid related to bank advances and capital lease obligations				
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>
Income taxes paid				
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 34</u>	<u>\$ 91</u>	<u>\$ 71</u>

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOVATEL INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
(in thousands, Canadian dollars, except per share data)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation and Nature of Business

The condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004 presented in this Quarterly Report are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada (Canadian GAAP or Canadian principles), are stated in Canadian dollars and are unaudited. The financial statements reflect all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. The adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The financial statements follow the same accounting policies and methods of their application as the audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004, except as disclosed in Note 2 below. The notes presented in these interim consolidated financial statements include only significant events and transactions occurring since the Company's last fiscal year and are not fully inclusive of all matters normally disclosed in the Company's annual audited consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Note 2 Adoption of New Accounting Standard

Effective January 1, 2005, the Company adopted revised CICA Accounting Guideline 15 ("AcG-15"), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities". AcG-15 is harmonized in all material respects with U.S. GAAP and provides guidance for applying consolidation principles to certain entities (defined as VIEs) that are subject to control on a basis other than ownership of voting interests. An entity is a VIE when, by design, one or both of the following conditions exist: (a) total equity investment at risk is insufficient to permit that entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated support from other parties; (b) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack certain essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest. AcG-15 requires consolidation by a business of VIEs in which it is the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is defined as the party that has exposure to the majority of the expected losses and/or expected residual returns of the VIE. The adoption of this guideline did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 Inventories

	<u>September 30, 2005</u>	<u>December 31, 2004</u>
Raw materials and components	\$ 1,949	\$ 2,174
Work-in-progress	477	345
Finished goods	<u>2,942</u>	<u>2,672</u>
	<u>\$ 5,368</u>	<u>\$ 5,191</u>

Note 4 Deferred Development Costs

	<u>September 30, 2005</u>	<u>December 31, 2004</u>
Deferred development costs	\$ 2,900	\$ 3,064
Accumulated amortization	<u>(1,128)</u>	<u>(705)</u>
	<u>\$ 1,772</u>	<u>\$ 2,359</u>

The Company has deferred certain costs related to the development of a certified aviation GPS receiver. The Company amortizes these deferred costs using a method similar to the unit-of-production method of amortization. The following table discloses the development costs which have been deferred and the amortization of deferred development costs:

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>September 30, 2005</u>	<u>October 2, 2004</u>	<u>September 30, 2005</u>	<u>October 2, 2004</u>
Deferred development costs	\$ —	\$ 62	\$ —	\$ 207
Amortization of deferred development costs	\$ 102	\$ 99	\$ 423	\$ 279

At September 30, 2005, the Company had deferred \$1,772 of development costs, net of accumulated amortization. The continued deferral of these costs is subject to recoverability based on estimates of future sales of the certified aviation GPS receiver. On April 5, 2004, the Company and CMC Electronics entered into a Strategic Cooperation Agreement which *inter alia* provides for certain guaranteed future payments over the following four years. Under the current business programs between the Company and CMC Electronics, the Company would expect these future guaranteed payments to substantially recover the \$1,772 of deferred development costs as of September 30, 2005. Should future actual or estimated sales of this receiver be materially lower than that currently estimated, a portion of the deferred development costs would be charged to results of operations.

Note 5 Intangible Assets

Intangible assets subject to amortization are as set out below:

	September 30, 2005			December 31, 2004		
	Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value	Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Patents and trademarks	\$ 4,151	\$ 2,772	\$ 1,379	\$ 3,925	\$ 2,450	\$ 1,475
Technology	2,410	2,208	202	2,410	2,173	237
Software licenses	3,868	2,789	1,079	3,133	2,548	585
Market presence of CMC Electronics OEM GPS product line	348	195	153	348	130	218
Total intangibles	\$ 10,777	\$ 7,964	\$ 2,813	\$ 9,816	\$ 7,301	\$ 2,515

Amortization expense related to intangibles was \$220 and \$206 for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively, and \$662 and \$555 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004, respectively. The weighted average amortization period is 6.6 years.

The estimated aggregate amortization expense for the five succeeding years is as follows:

2005	\$ 845
2006	710
2007	557
2008	380
2009	180

Note 6 Capital Stock

The Company has authorized an unlimited number of common shares and first preference shares, of which 8,326 common shares were outstanding as of September 30, 2005 (8,260 as of December 31, 2004).

Net income per share figures presented in the Company's financial statements are based upon the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share figures are computed as if the dilutive instruments were exercised at the beginning of the period (or the time of issuance, if later) and the funds obtained were used to purchase common shares at the average market price during the period.

The Company maintains stock option plans for employees and members of the Board of Directors. Under the plans, participants are granted options to purchase common shares of the Company at no less than the market value on the date of the grant. The options have vesting periods ranging from one to four years and expire five to ten years from the date of the grant. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had granted 1,305 options to purchase common shares of the Company under the stock option plans, of which 681 had been exercised and 624 are outstanding.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plans as of September 30, 2005, and changes during the nine month period ended on that date is presented below:

Options	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2005	574	US \$ 4.51
Granted	118	20.28
Exercised	(66)	4.96
Forfeited	(2)	2.25
Outstanding at September 30, 2005	624	US \$ 7.44
Options exercisable at September 30, 2005	369	US \$ 5.16

The following table summarizes information about the stock option plans as of September 30, 2005:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
US \$ 32.50	6	4.8	US\$ 32.50	—	US\$ 32.50
\$ 13.16 to \$ 19.61	113	4.7	19.50	—	13.16
\$ 7.50 to \$ 11.25	195	1.8	7.81	194	7.79
\$ 4.00 to \$ 4.94	4	7.4	4.55	1	4.26
\$ 2.25 to \$ 3.44	263	6.7	2.37	131	2.44
\$ 1.38 to \$ 2.00	43	5.0	1.63	43	1.63
US \$ 1.38to \$ 32.50	624	4.7	US\$ 7.44	369	US\$ 5.16

The Company granted 112 options to purchase common shares of the Company to employees on May 13, 2005. The options have an exercise price of US\$19.61, vest over four years and expire five years from the date of grant. On July 29, 2005, the Company granted an additional 6 options to purchase common shares of the Company to directors. These options have an exercise price of US\$32.50, vest in one year and expire five years from the date of grant.

The following table represents the potential dilutive effect of options which have been issued, and could be exercised by the option holders:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic)	8,304	8,069	8,280	8,033
Dilutive effect of options	514	515	497	529
Weighted average common shares outstanding (diluted)	8,818	8,584	8,777	8,562

The following table represents options that were excluded from the calculation of net income per share (diluted) due to an anti-dilutive effect as a result of the exercise price of the options being higher than the market price of the stock in the period:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
	6	3	6	3

Note 7 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

The net changes in non-cash working capital related to operations include:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivables and related party receivables	\$ 1,319	\$ 693	\$ 81	\$ (1,225)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(745)	181	(177)	(69)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and deposits	56	157	(348)	(2)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable, accrued liabilities and related party payables	(560)	645	(946)	1,461
(Decrease) increase in deferred revenue and customer deposits	(399)	202	(401)	386
(Decrease) increase in provision for future warranty costs	(64)	20	35	103
Net change in non-cash working capital	\$ (393)	\$ 1,898	\$ (1,756)	\$ 654

Note 8 Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

a) As at September 30, 2005, intangible assets included \$112 related to the Company's 1996 settlement agreement with Trimble Navigation Limited ("Trimble"). This settlement agreement with Trimble includes a provision that Trimble may, at its sole option, terminate rights granted thereunder, including licenses, should the Company undergo a direct change in control. On June 26, 2001, the Company received notice from Trimble terminating the licenses and rights under the settlement agreement in connection with the share acquisition by CMC Electronics Inc. ("CMC Electronics") in April 1998. The Company has sought legal advice regarding Trimble's termination rights under the 1996 settlement agreement and is continuing to evaluate the impact, if any, of Trimble's notice of termination. As this matter has not been resolved as of September 30, 2005, the Company has not provided for any impairment of these intangible assets.

b) The Company is subject to legal proceedings and other claims which arise in the ordinary course of its business. The Company has sought legal advice on these matters. In the opinion of management, the ultimate liability with respect to the resolution of these actions is not expected to materially affect the financial position or results of operations.

c) In the normal course of operations, the Company provides indemnifications that are often standard contractual terms to counterparties in transactions such as purchase and sale contracts and service agreements. These indemnification agreements may require the Company to compensate the counterparties for costs incurred as a result of various events, changes in (or in the interpretation of) laws and regulations, or as a result of litigation claims or statutory sanctions that may be suffered by the counterparty as a consequence of the transaction. The terms of these indemnification agreements will vary based upon the contract, the nature of which prevents the Company from making a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount it could be required to pay counterparties. Historically, the Company has not made any payments under such indemnifications and no amounts have been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements with respect to these indemnification guarantees.

d) As of November 14, 2005, the landlord of the Company's Calgary facility was constructing an expansion of the facility at the request of and for the use of the Company. The construction is expected to be completed by mid 2006. The Company expects its lease obligations for its existing facility and the expansion in Calgary to be approximately \$12.5 million over a ten year lease term, commencing with the completion of the construction.

e) In the normal course of business, the Company uses forward foreign currency contracts to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in the U.S. dollar and Euro to Canadian dollar exchange rates. The Company documents the relationship between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objective for undertaking hedging transactions. The process includes linking hedging instruments to forecasted transactions. The Company also assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the foreign currency contracts that are used in hedging transactions are effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of hedged items. The contracts are normally for terms of up to twelve months and are used as hedges of a portion of the Company's U.S. dollar and Euro denominated revenues. The contracts are not used for trading or speculative purposes. Foreign exchange gains and losses on foreign currency contracts used to hedge

anticipated U.S. dollar and Euro revenues beyond 60 days are applied against the underlying revenues when these revenues are recognized.

At September 30, 2005, the Company had forward foreign currency contracts to sell U.S. \$8,000 between October 1, 2005 and May 31, 2006 at rates between \$0.7938 and \$0.8485 U.S. dollar per Canadian dollar. In addition, the Company had forward foreign currency contracts to sell 640 Euros between October 31, 2005 and February 18, 2006 at an average rate of 0.6685 Euro per Canadian dollar.

The carrying values of other financial instruments, which include cash equivalents and short-term investments, accounts receivable, related party receivables, related party notes receivable, deposits, accounts payable, related party payables, notes payable and customer deposits approximate their fair value because of the near-term maturity of these instruments.

Note 9 Related Party Transactions

In the normal course of business, the Company had the following transactions, measured at the exchange amount, with its related parties:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Product sales to Point, Inc.	\$ 613	\$ 465	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,484
Services provided to Point, Inc.	36	35	115	103
Engineering services charged by Point, Inc.	96	46	374	113
Engineering services charged to Point, Inc.	281	100	729	143
Inventory purchases from Point, Inc.	—	—	3	—
Inventory purchases from CMC Electronics	—	94	—	1,104
Inventory sold to CMC Electronics Inc.	—	74	124	74
Royalties charged to CMC Electronics Inc.	—	123	241	363
Other expenses from CMC Electronics Inc.	—	20	10	241
Contracted development costs charged by CMC Electronics Inc.	—	61	—	206
Share offering costs charged to CMC Electronics Inc.	—	20	—	737
Share offering costs charged by CMC Electronics Inc.	—	—	—	55

Related party receivables at September 30, 2005 consist of \$1,360 from Point, Inc. (\$1,318 at December 31, 2004). Related party payables at September 30, 2005 of \$4 consist of amounts due to Point, Inc. (\$5 at December 31, 2004).

The related party notes receivable of \$1,568 (\$1,614 at December 31, 2004) reflects the Company's proportionate joint venture interest in the aggregate borrowings by Point, Inc. from the Company and Sokkia Co., Ltd. ("Sokkia") of US\$5,330. The loans are secured by the assets of Point, Inc., bear interest at 3%, and have a due date of February 28, 2006 (see note 10).

From January 2005 through August 2005, CMC Electronics sold its interest in NovAtel and does not maintain any representation on the Company's board of directors and therefore is not considered to be a related party.

Note 10 Investment in Point, Inc.

The Company and Sokkia Co., Ltd. participate in a joint venture, Point, Inc., to distribute GPS based products for surveying, mapping, geographical information systems, construction and construction machine control applications, on a worldwide basis. The consolidated financial statements presented herein include the Company's proportionate share of the accounts of Point, Inc.

The following is a summary of the Company's proportionate share of the financial position, operating results, and cash flows of Point, Inc.

	September 30, 2005		December 31, 2004	
Current assets	\$ 1,376		\$ 1,603	
Non-current assets	168		104	
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,544</u>		<u>\$ 1,707</u>	
Current liabilities	\$ 2,740		\$ 2,635	
Long-term liabilities	—		—	
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 2,740</u>		<u>\$ 2,635</u>	

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Revenues	\$ 1,412	\$ 1,493	\$ 4,607	\$ 4,359
Gross profit	456	509	1,391	1,342
Expenses	517	318	1,734	1,539
(Loss) Income	<u>\$ (61)</u>	<u>\$ 191</u>	<u>\$ (343)</u>	<u>\$ (197)</u>

Cash provided by (used in)				
Operating activities	\$ 174	\$ 114	\$ 107	\$ (22)
Investing activities	(36)	(28)	(102)	(52)
Financing activities	(1)	—	11	(1)
Effect of exchange rate changes on financing activities	(86)	(103)	(57)	(38)

Included in current liabilities is the Company's share of notes payable by Point, Inc. to Sokkia, in the amount of \$1,568 (\$1,614 at December 31, 2004). The loans are secured by the assets of Point, Inc., bear interest at 3% and have due dates of February 28, 2006 (see Note 9). As of September 30, 2005, Point, Inc. has borrowed US\$5,330 in aggregate from the Company and Sokkia.

Point, Inc. is dependent on the Company and Sokkia for product supply, distribution and financing. Should Sokkia and the Company discontinue their support, Point, Inc.'s ability to continue as a going concern would be impaired. If the existing loans to Point, Inc. of US\$5,330 were ultimately not repaid by Point, Inc. to the Company and Sokkia, and/or if Point, Inc. were liquidated in an orderly wind-down, the Company believes that its maximum loss exposure in the statement of operations to Point, Inc., as of September 30, 2005, after consideration of the effects of the proportionate consolidation of Point, Inc., would be approximately \$600, comprised primarily of working capital related items and the costs that would be incurred to cease operations. These financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that would be required if Point, Inc.'s operations were discontinued.

Note 11 Stock-Based Compensation

At September 30, 2005, the Company had issued 624 unexercised options to employees and directors to purchase common shares under its stock-based compensation plans (see Note 6).

Effective January 1, 2004, the Company adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock options awarded to employees on or after January 1, 2002, as required by amended CICA Handbook Section 3870 *Stock-Based Compensation and Other Stock-Based Payments*. As permitted by the transitional provisions of Section 3870, the change was adopted retroactively without restatement. Under Section 3870, the fair value of stock options is recognized over the applicable vesting period as a charge to stock-based compensation expense and a credit to contributed surplus. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, stock-based compensation expense of \$185 and \$390 was recognized, respectively (\$83 and \$244 in the three and nine month periods ended October 2, 2004, respectively).

The Company granted 112 options to purchase common shares of the Company to employees on May 13, 2005. The options have an exercise price of US\$19.61, vest over four years and expire five years from the date of grant. On July 29, 2005, the Company granted 6 options to purchase common shares of the Company to directors. These options have an exercise price of US\$32.50, vest in one year and expire five years from the date of grant. The fair value of each option granted in 2005 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected life of 3 years, expected volatility of between 84% to 86% and a risk free interest rate of 3.34%.

Note 12 Subsequent Event – Acquisition of Waypoint Consulting Inc.

On October 1, 2005, the Company acquired Waypoint Consulting Inc. (“Waypoint”) for approximately \$3,300 in cash, subject to post closing adjustments to working capital. Of the total purchase price, \$2,100 was paid by October 1, 2005, and \$1,200 was held in escrow by Waypoint’s attorneys and will be paid in installments over three years, subject to certain conditions. The acquisition will be accounted for as a purchase business combination in the fourth quarter of 2005.

As of September 30, 2005, the Company had transferred the \$3,300 purchase price to Waypoint’s legal representatives in trust. Accordingly, this amount was included in “Prepaid expenses and deposits” on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2005.

Note 13 Differences between Canadian and United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada (Canadian GAAP or Canadian principles). The significant differences from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP or U.S. principles) are as follows:

a) *Deferred Development Costs (see Note 4)*

As of September 30, 2005, the Company had deferred \$1,772 of development costs, net of accumulated amortization, related to the development of a certified aviation GPS receiver. In the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, the Company deferred \$nil of development costs (\$62 and \$207 in the three and nine month periods ended October 2, 2004, respectively) in accordance with Canadian GAAP. Under U.S. GAAP, these costs would be expensed as incurred as research and development costs.

In the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005, the Company amortized \$102 and \$423 of deferred development costs respectively in accordance with Canadian GAAP (\$99 and \$279 in the three and nine month periods ended October 2, 2004, respectively). Under U.S. GAAP, these costs would have been expensed in the periods when the originating expenditures were incurred.

In addition, for Canadian GAAP purposes, in connection with the recognition of prior years’ investment tax credits, the Company reduced the deferred development costs by \$164 in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005. Under U.S. GAAP, this cost would have been expensed when the originating expenditures were incurred.

b) *Stock-Based Compensation*

Under U.S. GAAP, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) 123 “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation” establishes a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. Companies have the option of including the effect of this pronouncement in determining their net income, or alternatively, may continue to apply the accounting provisions of APB Opinion 25, but must comply with the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123. For U.S. GAAP purposes, the Company continues to account for its stock-based compensation in accordance with APB Opinion 25 (see Note 13(k)).

Effective January 1, 2004, the Company adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock options awarded to employees on or after January 1, 2002 as required by amended CICA Handbook Section 3870 *Stock-Based Compensation and Other Stock-Based Payments*. As permitted by the transitional provisions of Section 3870, the change was adopted retroactively without restatement. Under Section 3870, the fair value of stock options is recognized over the applicable vesting period as stock-based compensation expense and a credit to contributed surplus. When options are exercised, the proceeds are credited to share capital, and the applicable fair value is reclassified from contributed surplus to share capital. As indicated above, the Company continues to account for its stock-based compensation in accordance with APB 25 and thus these adjustments would not be recorded for U.S. GAAP purposes.

Under Canadian GAAP, the Company incurred stock-based compensation expense of \$185 and \$390 in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 (\$83 and \$244 in the three and nine month periods ended October 2, 2004). For U.S. GAAP purposes, this expense would not have been included in the determination of net income.

c) *Derivatives and Hedging Activities*

The Company has historically entered into foreign exchange options and forward contracts to manage its exposure to

foreign currency fluctuations. Under U.S. GAAP the accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities is contained in the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, as amended by SFAS 137, SFAS 138 and SFAS 149 ("SFAS 133"). SFAS 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards requiring that all derivative instruments be recorded in the balance sheet as either an asset or a liability measured at fair value and requires that changes in the fair value be recognized currently in income unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. For cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recognized in net income in the same period as the hedged item and any changes in the fair value prior to that period are recognized in other comprehensive income. For fair value hedges, both the derivative instrument and the underlying commitment are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair value and any changes in the fair value are recognized currently in net income. The Company's foreign exchange contracts have been accounted for as cash flow hedges.

Under U.S. GAAP, SFAS 133 would result in an increase in total assets of \$400 as of September 30, 2005 (\$179 as of December 31, 2004) related to the fair value of the Company's foreign exchange contracts.

d) *Intangibles Related to Acquisition of CMC Electronics OEM GPS Business*

On May 14, 2003, the Company acquired the CMC Electronics non-aviation GPS product line. The fair value of the net assets acquired included \$497 in intangibles, comprised primarily of technology, product design, customer relationships and an established market presence. Under U.S. GAAP, when accounting for transfer of assets between entities under common control, the entity that receives the net assets or the equity interest would initially recognize the assets and liabilities transferred at their carrying amounts at the date of transfer. Any excess of consideration given over the controlling shareholders' carrying value would be accounted for as a reduction of equity.

e) *Investment in Joint Ventures*

The accounts of the Company's 49% joint venture interest in Point, Inc. are proportionately consolidated as required under Canadian GAAP. Under U.S. GAAP, proportionate consolidation is not permitted, and the Company's investment in this joint venture would be accounted for using the equity method. As permitted by SEC guidance, the effects of this difference in accounting principles have not been reflected in the accompanying tables.

f) *Provision for Future Warranty Costs*

The changes in the provision for future warranty costs during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004 are as follows:

	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Opening balance, beginning of period	\$ 538	\$ 410
Additions to provision	196	200
Costs incurred	(161)	(97)
Ending balance, end of period	<u>\$ 573</u>	<u>\$ 513</u>

g) *Investment Tax Credits*

Under Canadian GAAP, the Company uses the cost reduction method to account for its investment tax credits. The investment tax credits relate to certain research and development expenses and are recognized when there is reasonable assurance that the Company will be able to realize the benefit of these credits. In the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, the Company recognized \$1,200 of investment tax credits, of which \$1,036 was recorded as a benefit of prior years' investment tax credits on the statement of operations and \$164 was recorded as a reduction of deferred development costs on the balance sheet.

Under U.S. GAAP, the Company would use the flow through method to account for its investment tax credits and would record the recognition of the \$1,200 of investment tax credits as a reduction of the current income tax provision.

The net effect of these reconciling items would be an increase in income from operations of \$164 in the nine month period ending September 30, 2005 under U.S. GAAP.

There was no comparable recognition of investment tax credits in the nine month period ending October 2, 2004.

h) *Comprehensive Income*

U.S. GAAP utilizes the concept of comprehensive income, which includes net income and other comprehensive income. Currently, there is no similar concept under Canadian GAAP. Other comprehensive income represents the change in equity during the period from transactions and other events from non-owner sources and includes such items as changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges.

i) *Summary of the Differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP*

The effects of the above noted differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP on the statements of operations and on the balance sheets are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Net income from operations – Canadian GAAP	\$ 3,168	\$ 2,871	\$ 11,585	\$ 7,035
Adjustments to U.S. GAAP				
Deferred development costs (a)	—	(62)	—	(207)
Amortization of deferred development costs (a)	102	99	423	279
Reduction of deferred development costs related to recognition of investment tax credits (a)(g)	—	—	164	—
Stock-based compensation expense (b)	185	83	390	244
Amortization of intangibles acquired from CMC Electronics (d)	31	31	93	92
Net income - U.S. GAAP	<u>\$ 3,486</u>	<u>\$ 3,022</u>	<u>\$ 12,655</u>	<u>\$ 7,443</u>
Net unrealized gain on foreign exchange contracts (c)	359	206	382	141
Reclassification to income of gains and losses on cash flow hedges	(7)	45	(161)	46
Comprehensive income	<u>\$ 3,838</u>	<u>\$ 3,273</u>	<u>\$ 12,876</u>	<u>\$ 7,630</u>

	September 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Total Assets		
Canadian GAAP	\$ 63,994	\$ 52,946
Adjustments to U.S. GAAP		
Deferred development costs (a)	(1,772)	(2,359)
Fair value of financial instruments (c)	400	179
Reduction of intangibles acquired from CMC Electronics to carrying value (d)	(213)	(306)
U.S. GAAP	<u>\$ 62,409</u>	<u>\$ 50,460</u>
Total Shareholders' Equity		
Canadian GAAP	\$ 52,468	\$ 40,093
Adjustments to U.S. GAAP		
Deferred development costs (a)	(1,772)	(2,359)
Reduction of intangibles acquired from CMC Electronics to carrying value (d)	(492)	(492)
Amortization of intangibles acquired from CMC Electronics (d)	279	186
U.S. GAAP – before other comprehensive income	<u>\$ 50,483</u>	<u>\$ 37,428</u>
Accumulated other comprehensive income	400	179
U.S. GAAP – including accumulated other comprehensive income	<u>\$ 50,883</u>	<u>\$ 37,607</u>

j) *Net Income per Share*

Net income per share as computed under Canadian and U.S. GAAP is as set forth below for the following periods:

Net Income per share	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Canadian GAAP – basic	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.36	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.88
U.S. GAAP – basic	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.53	\$ 0.93
Canadian GAAP – diluted	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.33	\$ 1.32	\$ 0.82
U.S. GAAP – diluted	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.35	\$ 1.44	\$ 0.87

k) *Stock-Based Compensation*

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation” establishes a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. Companies have the option of including the effect of this pronouncement in determining their net income, or alternatively, may continue to apply the accounting provisions of APB Opinion 25, but must comply with the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 and SFAS 148. Had the Company prepared its consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, the Company would have accounted for its stock-based compensation in accordance with APB Opinion 25.

At September 30, 2005, the Company had 624 options outstanding that had been issued to employees and directors to purchase common shares under its stock-based compensation plans. As the Company would have applied APB Opinion 25 and related Interpretations in accounting for its plans, no compensation cost would have been recognized within the statement of operations under U.S. GAAP in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004. Had compensation cost for the Company’s stock-based compensation plans been determined based on the fair value of the options at the grant dates, the Company’s pro forma net income and net income per share would have been as follows:

		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Net income – U.S. GAAP	As reported	\$ 3,486	\$ 3,022	\$ 12,655	\$ 7,443
Less: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under the fair value based method for all option awards		(148)	(102)	(355)	(307)
Net income – U.S. GAAP	Pro forma	\$ 3,338	\$ 2,920	\$ 12,300	\$ 7,136
Net income –per share (basic)	As reported	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.53	\$ 0.93
	Pro forma	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.36	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.89
Net income –per share (diluted)	As reported	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.35	\$ 1.44	\$ 0.87
	Pro forma	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.34	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.83

The fair value of each option granted in 2004 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: dividend yield of 0%; expected life of 10 years; expected volatility of 98% and a risk free interest rate of 4.3%.

The fair value of each option granted in 2005 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0%, expected life of 3 years, expected volatility of between 84% to 86% and a risk free interest rate of 3.34%.

l) **Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows**

The effects of the differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP on the consolidated statement of cash flows are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004
Cash flow provided by operations – Canadian GAAP	\$ 3,325	\$ 5,465	\$ 11,413	\$ 10,371
Adjustments to U.S. GAAP:				
Deferred development costs (a)	—	(62)	—	(207)
Royalty payment relating to CMC Electronics' OEM GPS business (d)	—	—	(117)	(136)
Cash flow provided by operations – U.S. GAAP	<u>3,325</u>	<u>5,403</u>	<u>11,296</u>	<u>10,028</u>
Cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities – Canadian GAAP	312	120	457	(193)
Adjustments to U.S. GAAP	—	—	—	—
Cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities – U.S. GAAP	<u>312</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>457</u>	<u>(193)</u>
Cash flow used in investing activities – Canadian GAAP	(5,927)	(5,180)	(17,577)	(9,517)
Adjustments to U.S. GAAP:				
Deferred development costs (a)	—	62	—	207
Royalty payment relating to CMC Electronics' OEM GPS business (d)	—	—	117	136
Cash used in investing activities – U.S. GAAP	<u>(5,927)</u>	<u>(5,118)</u>	<u>(17,460)</u>	<u>(9,174)</u>
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,290)	405	(5,707)	661
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	5,532	2,701	8,949	2,445
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 3,242</u>	<u>\$ 3,106</u>	<u>\$ 3,242</u>	<u>\$ 3,106</u>

m) **New Canadian and U.S. GAAP Accounting Pronouncements**Canadian Pronouncements

In January 2005, the Accounting Standards Board (“AcSB”) of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (“CICA”) issued Handbook Section 1530, *Comprehensive Income*. The new accounting standard provides a new location for recognizing certain gains and losses. This provides an ability for certain gains and losses arising from changes in fair value to be temporarily recorded outside the income statement.

In January 2005, the AcSB of the CICA issued Handbook Section 3855, *Financial Instruments — Recognition and Measurement*. The new accounting standard requires that all financial instruments, including derivatives are to be included on a company’s balance sheet and measured, either at their fair value or, in limited circumstances when fair value may not be considered most relevant, at cost or amortized cost. The standards also specify when gains and losses as a result of changes in fair values are to be recognized in the income statement.

In January 2005, the AcSB of the CICA issued Handbook Section 3865, *Hedges*. The new accounting standard extends existing requirements for hedge accounting and comprehensively specifies how hedge accounting should be performed.

The mandatory effective date for the new Sections 1530, 3855 and 3865 is for interim and annual financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2006. Earlier adoption is permitted only as of the beginning of a fiscal year ending on or after December 31, 2004. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of these recently issued standards, but does not expect that the new standards will have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

In June 2005, the AcSB issued Handbook Section 3831, *Non-Monetary Transactions*, replacing Section 3830 of the same title. The new accounting standard requires all non-monetary transactions be measured at fair value unless certain conditions are satisfied. The new requirements are effective for non-monetary transactions initiated in periods beginning on or after January 1, 2006. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of the recently issued standard, but does not expect that the new standard will have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

U.S. Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, which establishes accounting standards for all transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods and services. SFAS No. 123(R) focuses primarily on accounting for transactions with employees.

SFAS No. 123(R) eliminates the intrinsic value measurement objective in APB Opinion 25 and generally requires the Company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award on the date of the grant. The standard requires grant date fair value to be estimated using either an option-pricing model which is consistent with the terms of the award or a market observed price, if such a price exists. Such cost must be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award in the requisite service period (which is usually the vesting period). The standard also requires the Company to estimate the number of instruments that will ultimately be issued, rather than accounting for forfeitures as they occur.

The Company is required and plans to apply SFAS No. 123(R) beginning with its fiscal year beginning January 1, 2006. SFAS 123(R) allows for either the "modified prospective method" or the "modified retrospective method" of recognizing compensation expense. Under the modified retrospective method, the Company must restate its previously issued financial statements to recognize the amounts the Company previously calculated and reported on a pro forma basis, as if the prior standard had been adopted. Under both methods, the Company is permitted to use either a straight line or an accelerated method to amortize the costs as an expense for awards with graded vesting. The standard permits and encourages early adoption.

The Company has commenced its analysis of the impact of SFAS 123(R), but has not yet decided: (1) whether to use the modified prospective method or elect to use the modified retrospective method, and (2) whether to use straight line amortization or an accelerated method.

To assist in the implementation of SFAS No. 123(R), the SEC issued SAB No. 107, "Share-Based Payment". While SAB No. 107 addresses a wide range of issues, the largest area of focus is valuation methodologies and the selection of assumptions. Notably, SAB No. 107 lays out simplified methods for developing certain assumptions. In addition to providing the SEC staff's interpretive guidance on SFAS No. 123(R), SAB No. 107 addresses the interaction of SFAS No. 123(R) with existing SEC guidance (e.g., the interaction with the SEC's guidance dealing with non-GAAP disclosures). Its intent is to clarify, not change, any of SFAS No. 123(R)'s guidance.

The Company is analyzing the requirements of this new Statement and cannot currently quantify with precision the effect that this standard would have on the Company's financial position or results of operations in the future. If the Company were to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified retrospective method, the Company's net income under U.S. GAAP would have been \$355 less than reported in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 (\$307 in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004).

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, which amends Chapter 4 of ARB No. 43 that deals with inventory pricing. The Statement clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expenses, freight, handling costs, and spoilage. Under previous guidance, paragraph 5 of ARB No. 43, chapter 4, items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs might be considered to be so abnormal, under certain circumstances, as to require treatment as current period charges. This Statement eliminates the criterion of "so abnormal" and requires that those items be recognized as current period charges. Also, this Statement requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. This Statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005, although earlier application is permitted for fiscal years beginning after the date of issuance of this Statement. Retroactive application is not permitted. Management is analyzing the requirements of this new Statement and believes that its adoption will not have any significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets an amendment of APB No. 29. This Statement amends Opinion 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. The Statement specifies that a nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. This Statement is effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after the date this Statement is issued. Retroactive application is not permitted. The Company is analyzing the requirements of this new Statement and believes that its adoption will not have any significant impact on the

Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") reached consensus on Issue No. 03-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments" ("EITF 03-1"). EITF 03-1 provides guidance on determining when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary and the measurement of an impairment loss. EITF 03-1 is applicable to marketable debt and equity securities within the scope of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" ("SFAS 115"), and SFAS No. 124, "Accounting for Certain Investments Held by Not-for-Profit Organizations", and equity securities that are not subject to the scope of SFAS 115 and not accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), at its June 29, 2005 Board meeting, decided not to provide additional guidance on the meaning of other-than-temporary impairment, but instead issued proposed FASB Staff Position ("FSP") EITF 03-1-a, "Implementation Guidance for the Application of Paragraph 16 of EITF Issue No. 03-1", as final, superseding EITF 03-1 and EITF Topic No. D-44, "Recognition of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment upon the Planned Sale of a Security Whose Cost Exceeds Fair Value". The final FSP, retitled FSP FAS 115-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments" ("FSP FAS 115-1"), will be applied prospectively and the effective date will be reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of FSP FAS 115-1 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2004, the FASB issued an exposure draft of a proposed Statement, "Fair Value Measurements" to provide guidance on how to measure the fair value of financial and non-financial assets and liabilities when required by other authoritative accounting pronouncements. The proposed statement attempts to address concerns about the ability to develop reliable estimates of fair value and inconsistencies in fair value guidance provided by current U.S. GAAP, by creating a framework that clarifies the fair value objective and its application in GAAP. In addition, the proposal expands disclosures required about the use of fair value to remeasure assets and liabilities. The standard will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and interim periods in those fiscal years, except that the disclosure requirements will be effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006.

On May 30, 2005, the FASB issued Statement 154, which changes the requirements for the accounting and reporting of a change in accounting principle. Statement 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle as well as to changes required by an accounting pronouncement that does not include specific transition provisions. Statement 154 eliminates the requirement in APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, to include the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principle in the income statement in the period of change. Instead, to enhance the comparability of prior period financial statements, Statement 154 requires that changes in accounting principle be retrospectively applied. Under retrospective application, the new accounting principle is applied as of the beginning of the first period presented as if that principle had always been used. The cumulative effect of the change is reflected in the carrying value of assets and liabilities as of the first period presented and the offsetting adjustments are recorded to opening retained earnings. Each period presented is adjusted to reflect the period specific effects of applying the change. Although retrospective application is similar to restating prior periods, Statement 154 gives the treatment a new name to differentiate it from restatement for the correction of an error. Only direct effects of the change will be included in the retrospective application; all indirect effects will be recognized in the period of change. If it is impracticable to determine the cumulative effect for all prior periods, the new accounting principle should be applied as if it were adopted prospectively from the earliest date practicable.

Under Statement 154, a change in accounting estimate continues to be accounted for in the period of change, and future periods if necessary. A change in accounting estimate that is effected by a change in accounting principle (e.g., a change in method of depreciation) will be accounted for as a change in estimate. A correction of an error continues to be reported by restating prior period financial statements as of the beginning of the first period presented.

Statement 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Early adoption is permitted for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after the date the Statement was issued. The Statement does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements, including those that are in a transition phase as of the effective date of the Statement. The Company is in the process of analyzing the requirements of this new Statement.

In June 2005, the FASB published an Exposure Draft containing proposals to change the accounting for business combinations. The proposed standards would replace the existing requirements of the FASB's Statement No. 141, *Business Combinations*. The proposals would result in fewer exceptions to the principle of measuring assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination at fair value. Additionally, the proposals would result in payments to third parties for consulting, legal, audit, and similar services associated with an acquisition being recognized generally as expenses when incurred rather than capitalized as part of the business combination. The FASB also published an Exposure Draft that proposes, among other changes, that noncontrolling interests be classified as equity within the consolidated financial

statements. The FASB's proposed standard would replace Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*.

On July 14, 2005, the FASB published an exposure draft entitled *Accounting for Uncertain Tax Positions* — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. The proposed interpretation is intended to reduce the significant diversity in practice associated with recognition and measurement of income taxes by establishing consistent criteria for evaluating uncertain tax positions.

- *Recognition* — The proposed interpretation establishes a probable recognition threshold. To recognize a benefit from a tax position, a company must conclude that the position is probable of being sustained upon audit based solely on the technical merits of the position. The term probable is used in this proposed interpretation consistent with its use in Statement 52 (i.e., “the future event or events are likely to occur”). In evaluating whether this requirement has been met, the proposed interpretation requires the company to presume that the tax position will be examined during an audit by the taxing authority. A tax position that did not previously meet the probable recognition threshold should be recognized in any subsequent period in which it is determined that the threshold has been met.
- *Measurement* — Once the probable recognition threshold is met, the best estimate of the amount that would be sustained on audit should be recognized. Any subsequent changes in the recognized amount should be made using a best estimate methodology and recognized in the period of change. “Best estimate” means the single most likely amount in a range of possible estimated amounts.
- *Derecognition* — In the period in which it becomes more likely than not that a tax position would no longer be sustained upon an audit by a taxing authority, the benefit should be derecognized by recording an income tax liability or reducing a deferred tax asset. A valuation allowance should not be used as a substitute for derecognition.
- *Classification* — A liability arising from the difference between the position taken in the tax return and the amount booked in the financial statements pursuant to the proposed interpretation should be classified as a current liability if expected to be paid within one year. However, if the liability arises from a taxable temporary difference, it would be classified as a deferred tax liability.
- *Disclosure* — Companies should follow the disclosure requirements of Statement 5 for both loss and gain contingencies related to uncertain tax positions.

The proposed interpretation would be effective January 1, 2006. Earlier application would be encouraged. Only tax positions meeting the probable recognition threshold at that date would be recognized. The transition adjustment resulting from application of this interpretation would be recorded as a cumulative-effect change in the income statement as of the end of the period of adoption. Restatement of prior periods or pro forma disclosures under APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, would not be permitted. Management is in the process of reviewing the requirements of this recent exposure draft.

On September 30, 2005, the FASB issued an Exposure Draft that would amend FASB Statement 128, *Earnings per Share*, to clarify guidance for mandatorily convertible instruments, the treasury stock method, contracts that may be settled in cash or shares and contingently issuable shares. The proposed amendments would:

- Amend the computational guidance for calculating the number of incremental shares included in diluted shares when applying the treasury stock method.
- Further amend the treasury stock method to treat the carrying amount of an extinguished liability upon issuance of shares as assumed proceeds.
- Eliminate the provision of Statement 128 that allows an entity to rebut the presumption that contracts that may be settled in either cash or shares will be settled in shares.
- Define a mandatorily convertible instrument and clarify that shares that would be issued upon conversion of a mandatorily convertible instrument should be included in the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding used in computing basic EPS.
- Amend the computation for calculating contingently issuable shares for inclusion in diluted EPS.

The proposed Statement would be effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2006. Retrospective

application would be required for all changes to Statement 128, except that retrospective application would be prohibited for contracts that were either settled in cash prior to adoption or modified prior to adoption to require cash settlement. Management is in the process of reviewing the requirements of this recent exposure draft.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's discussion and analysis is a review of the results of operations and the liquidity and capital resources of the Company. It should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. Certain information included herein is forward looking and based upon assumptions and anticipated results that are subject to uncertainties. Should one or more of these uncertainties materialize or should the underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary significantly from those expected.

The Company prepares its financial statements in Canadian dollars and in conformity with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP"). The Company is required to reconcile the material differences between Canadian GAAP and U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP"). Such reconciliation is set forth in Note 13 of the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company included elsewhere in this report.

Executive Overview

NovAtel designs, markets and sells high-precision GPS and other positioning component technology and sub-systems for a wide variety of commercial applications principally in the aviation, geomatics (surveying and mapping), mining, precision agriculture, marine and defence industries. NovAtel's solutions combine hardware, such as receivers and antennas, with software to enable its customers to fully integrate the Company's high-precision GPS technology into their respective products and systems. Unless the context requires otherwise, references herein to "NovAtel" or the "Company" refer to NovAtel Inc., including its interest in its joint venture, Point.

The Company's goal is to become the leading provider of high precision GPS component technology and subsystems. In order to achieve this objective, NovAtel's management focuses on achieving average long-run organic revenue growth of 15% to 20% per year (prior to currency fluctuations). Since the Company's top ten customers generated 68% of revenue in 2004, NovAtel's ability to achieve its revenue projections and revenue growth will be largely dependent on maintaining successful relationships with its key customers and strategic partners, as well as forming relationships with new key OEM customers.

A substantial portion of the Company's operating costs are relatively fixed in the short-term, and consequently, NovAtel's short-term profitability is largely dependent on achieving projected revenues and forecasted gross margins as a percentage of revenue.

In order for the Company to maintain its technology position within its market, the Company's management believes that continued significant investment in research and product development programs is required and expects research and development costs, net of customer funding, will be 15% to 20% of revenue in the near term.

As part of the Company's growth strategy, the Company also focuses on managing working capital, particularly inventory and trade accounts receivable.

The Company uses performance based incentive programs for both senior management and employees. Incentive pay under these programs is dependent on the Company achieving certain corporate objectives, such as operating income targets.

Most of the Company's revenues (over 95% in 2004 and in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005) are earned in currencies other than the Canadian dollar, principally the U.S. dollar. A substantial portion of the Company's expenses, however, have been and will continue to be incurred in Canadian dollars. Accordingly, fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the Canadian dollar could materially affect results of operations.

The Company's results of operations have fluctuated and can be expected to continue to fluctuate on a periodic basis as a result of a number of factors which affect revenue, gross margin and operating expenses. Annual and quarterly revenues have fluctuated because of a number of factors, including acquisition of new product lines, timing of major contracts, U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar exchange rates, operating results of subsidiary and joint venture entities, development of new products, certification and market acceptance of the Company's new products, impact and timing of large orders, pricing pressures in the market, establishing and maintaining effective distribution channels, fluctuations in non-recurring engineering ("NRE") fees, seasonality of customer purchase patterns and the timing of industry trade shows.

NovAtel's consolidated financial statements include a 49% proportionate share of Point, a geomatics joint venture with Sokkia, accounts as required under Canadian GAAP. The Company sells products to Point which incorporates them into surveying systems that are in turn sold through the Sokkia distribution channels and through independent dealers and distributors. In addition, the Company provides facilities, computer support and development and logistical support for Point's Calgary operations. The Company's consolidated Statements of Operations include the proportionate share of each of the equivalent line items reflected on Point's Statement of Operations. Similarly, the Company consolidates its proportionate share of each line item of Point's balance sheet.

On October 1, 2005 the Company acquired Waypoint Consulting Inc. ("Waypoint") for approximately \$3.3 million in cash, subject to post-closing adjustments to working capital. Of the total purchase price, \$2.1 million was paid by October 1, 2005 and \$1.2 million was held in escrow by Waypoint's attorneys and will be paid in installments over three years, subject to certain conditions. Waypoint's products include specialized real-time and post-processing GPS and GPS/inertial positioning and trajectory software. The Company plans to leverage Waypoint's products, intellectual property and technology across a number of market applications.

From January 2005 through August 2005, CMC Electronics sold approximately 4.5 million common shares of NovAtel through open market transactions, reducing its ownership of the Company from approximately 55% to 0%.

The Company's revenues for the first six months of 2005 increased by 27% over the comparable period in 2004. This revenue growth was primarily a result of higher shipments into the Special Applications revenue category, particularly for customers in the survey/mapping market and precision agriculture market. In addition, revenues for the first six months of 2005 benefited from the timing of revenues derived from contracts with Raytheon Company. The majority of the revenues from these contracts in the current year occurred in the first half of 2005. In the second half of 2005, the Company currently expects a more moderate rate of growth in revenue in comparison to the growth in the first six months of 2005. The Company currently expects full year 2005 revenue to be 15% - 20% above the full year revenue of 2004.

Revenue and Expenses

Revenues include product sales and NRE fees. NRE fees are received by the Company from its customers under engineering service contracts. Revenues from product sales consist primarily of sales of OEM receivers, software upgrades, GPS antennas, Wide Area Augmentation System ("WAAS") type receivers and end user products. The Company classifies its revenues into the following three primary categories, Geomatics, Aerospace and Defence, and Special Applications.

The Geomatics category is made up of surveying and mapping markets. Geomatics revenues are largely comprised of NovAtel's sales to Point, net of intercompany eliminations, and NovAtel's 49% proportionate share of sales by Point.

The Aerospace and Defence category is made up of aviation and defence markets. These markets utilize the Company's precision positioning technology for critical components in civil air traffic navigation, flight management systems, and certain military applications.

The Special Applications category is made up of marine, precision agriculture, unmanned vehicles, construction/grading, dealers (other than those that can be identified with a particular market sector) and miscellaneous markets.

The Company typically maintains control of the technology developed under NRE contracts allowing it to use these technologies to improve its current products and develop new products and solutions.

Total revenue has increased year over year from 2001 to 2004 at a compounded annual growth rate of 24% through sales to new customers as well as through sales of additional products and services to existing customers.

Cost of product sales consists primarily of the cost of the raw materials and components, labour and other manufacturing expenses and overhead. Cost of NRE fees consists primarily of personnel and related costs incurred in providing the engineering services.

Gross margin as a percentage of revenue varies primarily as a result of product sales mix, changes in materials and contract manufacturing costs, liquidation of discontinued inventory and absorption of fixed manufacturing costs.

Research and development expenses consist primarily of compensation of engineering personnel, contracted research and development expenses, amortization of purchased technology, amortization of equipment costs, and facility and computer support costs. Research and development expenses are reduced to the extent they are funded under customer

funded NRE contracts and other engineering development contracts.

Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of compensation of sales and marketing personnel as well as expenses for advertising, promotion and trade shows, dealer and agent's commissions, facilities and other expenses related to the sale of products.

General and administration expenses consist primarily of compensation of administrative personnel, professional fees such as legal, audit and insurance costs, corporate overhead, computer support costs and facilities expenses.

Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates

The Company applies a number of critical accounting policies and estimates in preparing the consolidated financial statements. There have been no material changes to the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates since December 31, 2004. For more information, please see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates" in the Company's Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2004.

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA (1) (unaudited)
(in thousands, **Canadian dollars**, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	% Change	September 30, 2005	October 2, 2004	% Change
Revenues:						
Product sales	\$ 13,791	\$ 12,646	9.1%	\$ 44,805	\$ 35,097	27.7%
NRE fees	504	1,131	(55.4)%	2,333	4,464	(47.7)%
Total revenues	<u>14,295</u>	<u>13,777</u>	<u>3.8%</u>	<u>47,138</u>	<u>39,561</u>	<u>19.2%</u>
Cost of sales:						
Cost of product sales	5,200	4,757	9.3%	17,273	13,878	24.5%
Cost of NRE fees	414	652	(36.5)%	1,458	2,424	(39.9)%
Total cost of sales	<u>5,614</u>	<u>5,409</u>	<u>3.8%</u>	<u>18,731</u>	<u>16,302</u>	<u>14.9%</u>
Gross profit	<u>8,681</u>	<u>8,368</u>	<u>3.7%</u>	<u>28,407</u>	<u>23,259</u>	<u>22.1%</u>
Operating expenses:						
Research and development	2,606	2,394	8.9%	7,826	6,830	14.6%
Selling and marketing	1,689	1,485	13.7%	4,907	4,533	8.3%
General and administration	1,520	1,325	14.7%	5,154	3,935	31.0%
Share offering costs	—	20	(100)%	—	742	(100)%
Total operating expenses	<u>5,815</u>	<u>5,224</u>	<u>11.3%</u>	<u>17,887</u>	<u>16,040</u>	<u>11.5%</u>
Operating income	2,866	3,144	(8.8)%	10,520	7,219	45.7%
Interest income, net	218	87	>100%	541	195	>100%
Other expense	(212)	(342)	(38)%	(296)	(327)	(9.5)%
Benefit of prior years' investment tax credits	—	—	—	1,036	—	>100%
Income from operations before income taxes	2,872	2,889	(0.6)%	11,801	7,087	66.5%
Income taxes						
Current provision	75	18	>100%	1,497	52	>100%
Future income tax expense (benefit)	(371)	—	N/A	(1,281)	—	N/A
Net income	<u>\$ 3,168</u>	<u>\$ 2,871</u>	<u>10.3%</u>	<u>\$ 11,585</u>	<u>\$ 7,035</u>	<u>64.7%</u>
Net income per share (basic)	<u>\$ 0.38</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>		<u>\$ 1.40</u>	<u>\$ 0.88</u>	
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)	<u>8,304</u>	<u>8,069</u>		<u>8,280</u>	<u>8,033</u>	
Net income per share (diluted)	<u>\$ 0.36</u>	<u>\$ 0.33</u>		<u>\$ 1.32</u>	<u>\$ 0.82</u>	
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	<u>8,818</u>	<u>8,584</u>		<u>8,777</u>	<u>8,562</u>	

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA (1), (2) (unaudited)

(in thousands, U.S. dollars, except per share data)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 (2)
Revenues:	
Product sales	\$ 37,923
NRE fees	1,975
Total revenues	<u>39,898</u>
Cost of sales:	
Cost of product sales	14,620
Cost of NRE fees	1,234
Total cost of sales	<u>15,854</u>
Gross profit	<u>24,044</u>
Operating expenses:	
Research and development	6,624
Selling and marketing	4,153
General and administration	4,363
Total operating expenses	<u>15,140</u>
Operating income	8,904
Interest income, net	458
Other expense	(251)
Benefit of prior years' investment tax credits	<u>877</u>
Income from operations before income taxes	9,988
Income taxes	
Current provision	1,267
Future income tax expense (benefit)	<u>(1,085)</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 9,806</u>
Net income per share (basic)	<u>\$ 1.18</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)	<u>8,280</u>
Net income per share (diluted)	<u>\$ 1.12</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	<u>8,777</u>

Balance Sheet Data (1): (in thousands, Canadian dollars)	December 31, 2004	September 30, 2005	U.S.\$ Equivalent September 30, 2005 (2)
Working capital	\$ 30,322	\$ 42,531	\$ 35,998
Total assets	52,946	63,994	54,165
Total shareholders' equity	40,093	52,468	44,409

(1) The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada (Canadian GAAP or Canadian principles). The significant differences from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP or U.S. principles) are disclosed in Note 13 of the Notes to the condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this report.

(2) Canadian dollar amounts have been translated into U.S. dollars solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of U.S. \$0.8464 per CDN \$1.00 which was the exchange rate as of November 4, 2005. These translations are not necessarily representative of the amounts that would have been reported if the Company had historically reported its financial statements in U.S. dollars. In addition, the rates utilized are not necessarily indicative of the rates in effect at any other time.

Three Month Period Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to Three Month Period Ended October 2, 2004

Revenues

Total revenues were \$14.3 million in the third quarter of 2005, an increase of 4% over the \$13.8 million in the third quarter of 2004. NovAtel's U.S. dollar denominated revenue declined to an average of CDN\$1.21 per U.S. dollar in the third quarter of 2005 from an average of CDN\$1.32 per U.S. dollar in the third quarter of 2004, a decline of approximately 8%. The following table sets out revenues of the Company by the categories indicated for the three month periods ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004 and revenues as a percentage of total revenue.

	Three Months Ended (\$ thousands)							
	September 30, 2005		October 2, 2004		Change			
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%		
Geomatics	\$ 3,010	21%	\$ 2,273	16%	\$ 737		32%	
Aerospace & Defence	1,326	9%	3,515	26%	(2,189)		(62)%	
Special Applications	9,874	69%	7,906	57%	1,968		25%	
Other	85	1%	83	1%	2		2%	
TOTAL	\$ 14,295	100%	\$ 13,777	100%	\$ 518		4%	

Geomatics

Geomatics revenue was \$3.0 million in the third quarter of 2005, an increase of 32% from \$2.3 million in the third quarter of 2004. The increase was attributable to sales by the Company to new customers in Asia and Canada and to higher revenue associated with the Company's joint venture Point, Inc. of \$213,000, reflecting increased product volumes and higher NRE revenue associated with new product development.

Effective with the fourth quarter of 2002, the Company changed its policy of recognizing shipments of product revenue from the Company to Point from revenue recognition at the time of shipment to revenue recognition at the time of receiving payment from Point. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had deferred revenue related to product shipments to Point of \$452,000, after inter-company eliminations.

Point Inc.'s operations were restructured in April 2002, with the aim of focusing operating activities on sales, marketing and distribution as opposed to product development. The Company had been disappointed in the operating performance of Point, Inc. particularly the lower than expected revenues which the Company had anticipated would increase after the April 2002 restructuring. The Company continues to work with Point, Inc.'s management and Sokkia to improve the operating results of Point, Inc. Since mid-year 2003, Point's operating performance has improved in certain areas, including higher revenues and customer orders. However, there can be no assurance that Point's operating performance will continue to improve.

Aerospace and Defence

Aerospace and Defence revenue was \$1.3 million for the third quarter of 2005, a decrease of 62% from \$3.5 million for the third quarter of 2004. The Company's Aerospace and Defence revenues are highly dependent on the timing of large, government-funded programs. A major portion of the revenue for the third quarter of 2005 was derived from the U.S. "WAAS" (Wide Area Augmentation System) aviation program which contributed \$ 702,000 in revenue, compared to \$2.5 million in revenue from the WAAS program in the third quarter of 2004.

In 2004, the Company's Aerospace and Defence revenue included \$8.2 million from three WAAS related contracts

awarded to the Company by Raytheon Company. These contracts were substantially completed at the end of 2004. Currently, the Company expects revenue from WAAS and other similar programs such as the GAGAN program in India, to contribute between \$4.0 million and \$4.5 million revenue in 2005, of which \$3.5 million has been incurred year to date. The Company expects to receive engineering service contracts and report associated revenues from the European Galileo program in the fourth quarter of 2005.

The certified GPS receiver jointly developed by the Company and CMC Electronics, the Company's former majority shareholder, is in use in a number of airborne applications and the LAAS program. With this GPS receiver achieving certification, the Company earned royalties of \$158,000 in the third quarter of 2005 compared to \$123,000 in the third quarter of 2004, and expects total royalties for 2005 to contribute between \$750,000 to \$850,000 in revenue.

Special Applications

Special Applications revenues were \$9.9 million in the third quarter of 2005, an increase of 25% from the \$7.9 million in the third quarter of 2004. The increase was primarily attributable to higher product shipments to Leica Geosystems of \$713,000 and higher shipments of product for a wide array of applications, including port automation of container movements and precise timing. The Company expects that revenue with Leica Geosystems will comprise 15% to 20% of total revenue in 2005. These increases offset lower revenues from the agricultural market, which declined \$368,000.

Other Revenue

Other revenue related to the sale of manufacturing components was \$85,000 in the third quarter of 2005, compared to \$83,000 in the third quarter of 2004.

Revenues by Geographic Market

The Company derived approximately 53% of its total revenues from the sale of its products to countries outside the United States and Canada in the third quarter of 2005 compared to 49% in the third quarter of 2004. Revenues from international sales increased to \$7.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 from \$6.7 million in the third quarter of 2004, mainly as a result of increased sales to Leica Geosystems in Switzerland.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased to \$8.7 million in the third quarter of 2005 from \$8.4 million in the third quarter of 2004, mainly as a result of increased revenue. Gross profit as a percentage of total revenues was 61% in the third quarter of 2005, the same as the gross margin percentage in the third quarter of 2004. The gross margin percentage in the third quarter of 2005 benefited from reduced provisions for inventory obsolescence which increased the gross margin by 1.5% relative to the third quarter of 2004.

The Company expects gross margin as a percentage of revenue to decrease to between 57% and 59% in the future as its product mix changes. Specifically, the Company expects engineering service revenues (NRE revenue) on which the Company typically earns lower than average gross margins to increase.

Research and development. Research and development expenses increased 9% from \$2.4 million in the third quarter of 2004 to \$2.6 million in the third quarter of 2005 and increased as a percentage of total revenues from 17.4% in the third quarter of 2004 to 18.2% in the third quarter of 2005. The increase in research and development expenses is primarily attributable to higher material/services costs of \$360,000, higher employee compensation and benefit costs of \$166,000, and higher costs for facilities and IT support services of \$57,000, offset by higher recovery of expenses from customer funded programs of \$434,000. The Company believes that significant investments in research and development are required to maintain its technology and compete in its business. The Company expects to spend approximately 16% to 18% of revenue in research and development activities in 2005.

Selling and marketing. Selling and marketing expenses increased 14% from \$1.5 million in the third quarter of 2004 to \$1.7 million in the third quarter of 2005 and increased as a percentage of revenue from 10.8% in the third quarter of 2004 to 11.8% in the third quarter of 2005. The increased selling and marketing costs in the current quarter were due primarily to higher employee compensation and benefit costs of \$91,000, higher advertising costs of \$33,000, higher commission costs of \$36,000 and higher provision for doubtful accounts of \$68,000.

General and administration. General and administration expenses increased 15% from \$1.3 million in the third quarter of 2004 to \$1.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 and increased as a percentage of revenue from 9.6% in the third quarter of 2004 to 10.6% in the third quarter of 2005. The increase in general and administration expenses was a result of higher

professional fees for legal and audit services of \$59,000, higher insurance costs of \$132,000 and consulting costs related to the Company's Sarbanes-Oxley 404 compliance project of \$56,000, partially offset by lower recruiting and relocation costs of \$70,000. The Company expects to spend approximately 10% to 11.5% of revenue in general and administration activities in 2005.

Interest income, net. The Company earned net interest income of \$218,000 in the third quarter of 2005 compared to \$87,000 in the third quarter of 2004, with the increase primarily attributable to higher cash balances being available for investment and higher interest rates. The Company's cash deposits that are not required for operations are invested in short-term interest bearing instruments.

Other expense. Other expense was \$212,000 in the third quarter of 2005 compared to \$342,000 in the third quarter of 2004. In both periods, the amounts were primarily attributable to foreign exchange losses or gains on the Company's net U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities arising from a change in value of the U.S. dollar versus the Canadian dollar.

Provision for Current Income Taxes and Future Income Tax Benefit. The provision for current income taxes consists primarily of an expense related to a provision for income tax payable in the U.S. and Canadian federal large corporations tax. The provision for current income taxes was \$75,000 in the third quarter of 2005, compared to \$18,000 in the third quarter of 2004. The increase was mainly attributable to higher provision for tax related to the Company's branch operations in the United States.

In the third quarter of 2005, the Company recognized a \$371,000 future income tax benefit compared to \$nil in the third quarter of 2004. The Company recognized the future income tax benefit in the current period on the basis of its improving profitability from 2001 to September 30, 2005 and the Company's forecasted profitability in the foreseeable future, consistent with the methodology adopted in the fourth quarter of 2004.

Nine Month Period Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to Nine Month Period Ended October 2, 2004

Revenues

Total revenues were \$47.1 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, an increase of 19% over the \$39.6 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. NovAtel's U.S. dollar denominated revenue declined to an average CDN\$1.23 per U.S. dollar in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 from an average CDN\$1.33 per U.S. dollar in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, a decline of approximately 8%. The following table sets out revenues of the Company by the categories indicated for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 and October 2, 2004 and revenues as a percentage of total revenue.

	Nine Months Ended (\$ thousands)							
	September 30, 2005			October 2, 2004			Change	
	\$	%		\$	%	\$	%	
Geomatics	\$ 9,195	20%		\$ 6,536	17%	\$ 2,659	41%	
Aerospace & Defence	5,895	12%		9,107	23%	(3,212)	(35)%	
Special Applications	31,773	67%		23,741	60%	8,032	34%	
Other	275	1%		177	—%	98	55%	
TOTAL	\$ 47,138	100%		\$ 39,561	100%	\$ 7,577	19%	

Geomatics

Geomatics revenue was \$9.2 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, an increase of 41% from the \$6.5 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. The Company's joint venture Point contributed \$1.2 million of the increase in revenue as a result of higher cash payments received by the Company from Point for product shipments, higher NRE revenue associated with new product development and increased sales through Sokkia's worldwide distribution network. In addition, the increase in geomatics revenue was partially attributable to sales by the Company to new customers in Asia and Canada.

Aerospace and Defence

Aerospace and Defence revenue was \$5.9 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, a decrease of 35% from \$9.1 million for the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. The Company's Aerospace and Defence revenues are highly dependent on the timing of large, government-funded programs. A major portion of the revenue for the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 related to the U.S. WAAS and the Indian GAGAN aviation programs that contributed \$3.5 million in revenue, compared to \$7.1 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. The Company also received \$571,000 NRE revenue in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 from the European Geostationary Overlay System ("EGNOS") aviation program upon receiving acceptance of final milestones. There was no EGNOS related revenue in the comparable period of 2004.

Special Applications

Special Applications revenue was \$31.8 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, an increase of 34% from the \$23.7 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. The increase was primarily attributable to higher product shipments to Leica Geosystems of \$3.6 million, higher revenue from the precision agricultural market of \$265,000, higher shipments of product into China of \$1.5 million and increased shipments for a wide array of applications including port automation of container tracking.

Other Revenue

Other revenue related to the sale of manufacturing components was \$275,000 in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, compared to \$177,000 in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004.

Revenues by Geographic Market

The Company derived approximately 51% of its total revenues from the sale of its products to countries outside the United States and Canada in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 compared to 47% in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. Revenues from international sales increased to \$24.3 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 from \$18.6 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, mainly as a result of increased sales to Leica Geosystems in Switzerland and higher shipments to China.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased to \$28.4 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 from \$23.3 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, as a result of increased revenue and higher gross margin as a percentage of revenue. Gross profit as a percentage of total revenues was 60% in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 compared to 59% in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, with the increase attributable to favourable revenue mix, particularly the higher proportion of product sales relative to NRE revenue, on which the Company typically earns lower margins.

Research and development. Research and development expenses increased 15% from \$6.8 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004 to \$7.8 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, but decreased as a percentage of total revenues from 17.3% in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004 to 16.6% in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005. The increase in research and development is primarily attributable to higher material/services costs of \$472,000, higher employee compensation and benefit costs of \$269,000 and higher costs for facilities and IT support services of \$173,000.

Selling and marketing. Selling and marketing expenses increased 8% from \$4.5 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004 to \$4.9 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 and decreased as a percentage of total revenues from 11.5% in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004 to 10.4% in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005. The increased selling and marketing costs in the nine month period were due primarily to higher employee compensation and benefit costs of \$162,000, higher advertising costs of \$159,000, higher customer and product support costs of \$114,000 and higher provision for doubtful accounts of \$65,000, partially offset by lower recruiting and relocation costs of \$61,000 and lower facilities and IT support services of \$65,000.

General and administration. General and administration expenses increased 31% from \$3.9 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004 to \$5.2 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 and increased as a percentage of revenue from 9.9% in the third quarter of 2004 to 10.9% in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005. The increase in general and administration expenses was a result of higher professional fees for legal and audit services of \$617,000, higher insurance costs of \$345,000, higher directors fees of \$100,000, consulting costs related to the Company's Sarbanes-Oxley 404 compliance project of \$183,000, and higher employee compensation and benefit costs of \$89,000.

Share offering costs. In the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, the Company had incurred expenses of \$742,000 in relation to a proposed public offering in Canada and a private placement in the U.S. of its common shares. On May 26, 2004, the Company withdrew the offering. There were no comparable costs in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005.

Interest income, net. The Company earned net interest income of \$541,000 in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$195,000 in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, with the increase attributable to higher cash balances being available for investment and higher interest rates.

Other expense. Other expense was \$296,000 in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 compared to \$327,000 in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. In both periods, the amounts were primarily attributable to foreign exchange losses or gains on the Company's net U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities arising from a change in value of the U.S. dollar versus the Canadian dollar.

Benefit of Investment Tax Credits. In the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, the Company recognized a \$1.0 million benefit of investment tax credits related to the use of investment tax credits to shelter tax otherwise payable in the period. There was no comparable benefit of investment tax credits in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, as the Company used tax losses and tax deductible research expenditures to shelter tax otherwise payable.

Provision for Current Income Taxes and Future Income Tax Benefit. The provision for current income taxes consists primarily of an expense related to the benefit of investment tax credits recognized elsewhere on the statement of operations, a provision for income tax payable in the U.S., and Canadian federal large corporations tax. The provision for current income taxes was \$1.5 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, compared to \$52,000 in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. The increase was mainly attributable to a \$1.2 million expense incurred with the recognition of the benefit of investment tax credits and a higher provision for tax related to the Company's branch operations in the United States.

In the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, the Company recognized \$1.3 million future income tax benefit compared to \$nil in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. The Company recognized the future income tax benefit in the current period on the basis of its improving profitability from 2001 to September 30, 2005 and the Company's forecasted profitability in the foreseeable future, consistent with the methodology adopted in the fourth quarter of 2004.

Taxes

The Company has not historically recorded a provision for current income taxes payable in Canada, other than for Canadian federal large corporations tax, due to previously incurred losses, credits and costs. As of September 30, 2005, approximately of \$145 million of losses, investment tax credits, depreciation and research and development costs were available to reduce future taxable income and taxes payable primarily in Canada. The Company has determined that the acquisition of a majority of its Common Shares by CMC Electronics in 1998, BAE SYSTEMS p.l.c.'s acquisition of the majority of the common shares of CMC Electronics in November 1999 and ONCAP's acquisition of the majority of the common shares of CMC Electronics in April 2001 each constitute an acquisition of control of the Company for Canadian income tax purposes. Accordingly, the availability of certain of the Company's Canadian income tax losses, research and development costs, depreciation deductible for tax purposes and investment tax credit may be subject to limitation. The ultimate availability and amount of these losses, credits and costs may be dependent upon future Canada Customs and Revenue Agency audits. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 as part of the Company's Form 20-F with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Historically, prior to 2004, the Company had established a full valuation allowance against the calculated tax benefits associated with the tax shields, since it was uncertain that these tax benefits were more likely than not to be realized. In determining the valuation allowances to establish against these deferred tax benefits, the Company considers many factors, including the specific taxing jurisdiction, the carry forward period, income tax strategies, and limitations due to acquisitions-of-control and forecasted earnings. A valuation allowance is recognized if, based on the weight of available evidence, the Company concludes that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the future tax benefits will not be realized. In

2004, the Company determined, on the basis of four consecutive years of increasing profitability (2001 - 2004) and the Company's forecasts of operating results for the foreseeable future, that it was more likely than not that a portion of the future income tax assets would be realized. As part of this analysis, the Company used a seven year moving average to forecast profitability of its operations subject to Canadian income tax.

Accordingly, the Company has recognized future income tax assets of \$4.5 million (\$1.9 million current and \$2.6 million long term) on its balance sheet as of September 30, 2005. The Company recognized a corresponding \$1.1 million future income tax benefit and \$2.1 million benefit of investment tax credits in its statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2004 and an additional \$1.3 million future income tax benefit in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, cash provided by operations was \$11.4 million, compared to cash provided by operations of \$10.4 million in the nine month period ended October 2, 2004. Cash provided by operations in the nine month period ended September 30, 2005 consisted primarily of net income of \$11.6 million and \$2.2 million of amortization expenses, partially offset by \$904,000 of non-cash tax related items and an increase in working capital of \$1.8 million. The increase in non-cash working capital from December 31, 2004 to September 30, 2005 was caused primarily by the payout of the 2004 employee incentive programs in March 2005.

In the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, cash provided by financing activities was \$457,000, consisting of \$400,000 of proceeds from the exercise of stock options and reimbursement of \$57,000 from CMC Electronics, Inc. relating to the proposed public offering/private placement of the Company's shares in 2004. In the comparable period in 2004, \$193,000 of cash was used in financing activities and was comprised of \$512,000 costs of the proposed public offering/private placement of the Company's shares in 2004, partially offset by \$418,000 of proceeds received from the exercise of stock options.

In the nine month period ended September 30, 2005, cash used in investing activities was \$17.6 million, consisting of purchases of \$39.0 million of short-term investments, \$3.3 million related to the acquisition of Waypoint and purchases of \$1.8 million of capital equipment and intangible assets, partially offset by proceeds from maturities of short-term investments of \$26.6 million. In the nine month period ended October 2, 2004, cash used in investing activities was \$9.5 million, attributable to the purchase of \$17.7 million in short-term investments and \$1.8 million of capital equipment and intangible assets, partially offset by proceeds of \$10.3 million received upon the maturity of short-term investments.

At September 30, 2005, the Company had cash and short-term investments of \$30.0 million. The Company has credit agreements with the HSBC Bank Canada and the Toronto Dominion Bank under which the Company can borrow up to \$1.7 million for day-to-day operating requirements and \$3.4 million to support the margin requirement related to the purchase of up to approximately US\$14 to US\$15 million of foreign exchange contracts (depending on the maturity date of the contracts). The lines of credit are payable on demand and are secured by certain of the Company's assets. At September 30, 2005, the Company had the margin capacity necessary to enter into an additional US\$5.5 to 6.5 million in forward foreign exchange contracts (depending on the maturity date of the contracts).

The Company believes that its existing cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, available lines of credit and anticipated cash generated from operations will be sufficient to satisfy its currently anticipated cash needs for at least the next twelve months.

Inflation

While the Company believes that inflation has not had a material effect on its results of operations, there can be no assurance that inflation will not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations in the future.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2005, the Company had no off balance sheet arrangements other than operating leases entered into in the normal course of business.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes to the Company's contractual obligations and commitments to make future payments under contracts, excluding trade and related party trade payables, from those disclosed in the Company's Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2004 other than as disclosed below.

As of November 14, 2005, the landlord of the Company's Calgary facility was constructing an expansion of the facility at the request of and for the use of the Company. The construction is expected to be completed by mid 2006. The Company expects its lease obligations for its existing facility and the expansion in Calgary to be approximately \$12.5 million over a ten year lease term, commencing with the completion of the construction.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Most of the Company's revenues (over 95% for the first nine months of 2005) are earned in currencies other than the Canadian dollar, principally the U.S. dollar. A substantial portion of the Company's expenses, however, have been and will continue to be incurred in Canadian dollars. Accordingly, fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the Canadian dollar could materially affect the Company's results of operations. In the normal course of business, the Company uses foreign currency options and forward foreign currency contracts to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in the U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar exchange rates. The contracts are normally for terms of up to twelve months and are used as hedges of a portion of the Company's U.S. dollar denominated revenues. Gains and losses arising on these financial instruments are offset against the gains and losses arising on the maturity of the underlying transactions. Derivative financial instruments are not used for speculative purposes. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in these activities.

At September 30, 2005, the Company had forward foreign currency contracts to sell U.S. \$8.0 million between October 1, 2005 and May 31, 2006 at rates between \$0.7938 and \$0.8485 U.S. dollar per Canadian dollar. In addition, the Company had forward foreign currency contracts to sell 640,000 Euros between October 31, 2005 and February 18, 2006 at an average rate of 0.6685 Euro per Canadian dollar.

The Company's operating budget for 2005 is based on an exchange rate of U.S. \$0.810 per Canadian dollar. The Company estimates that each \$0.01 change in the U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar exchange rate would impact the Company's 2005 budgeted net income by approximately \$345,000, prior to taking into consideration the Company's 2005 hedging program. The following table sets forth the potential impact of various hypothetical U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar exchange rates on the Company's 2005 budgeted net income, prior to taking into consideration the Company's 2005 hedging program.

U.S.\$ per Canadian \$	Impact on 2005 Budgeted Net Income Favourable/(Unfavourable) (CDN\$) (millions)
\$ 0.77	\$ 1.4million
\$ 0.78	\$ 1.1
\$ 0.79	\$ 0.7
\$ 0.80	\$ 0.3
\$ 0.81	—
\$ 0.82	\$ (0.3)
\$ 0.83	\$ (0.7)
\$ 0.84	\$ (1.0)
\$ 0.85	\$ (1.3)
\$ 0.86	\$ (1.6)
\$ 0.87	\$ (2.0)

As of November 4, 2005, the exchange rate was U.S. \$0.8464 per Canadian dollar.

The Company is not subject to significant interest rate risk due to the short-term maturities of its outstanding loans and short-term investments.

Legal Proceedings

There has been no material change since the Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

DOC 3 Header

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with the accompanying Form 6-K for the Quarterly Report for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2005 (the "Report") of NovAtel Inc., I, Jonathan W. Ladd, President and Chief Executive Officer, of NovAtel Inc., hereby certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) such Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in such Report fairly represents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of NovAtel Inc.

Date: December 8, 2005

/s/ JONATHAN W. LADD

Jonathan W. Ladd
President and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with the accompanying Form 6-K for the Quarterly Report for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 (the "Report") of NovAtel Inc., I, Werner Gartner, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, of NovAtel Inc., hereby certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) such Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in such Report fairly represents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of NovAtel Inc.

Date: December 8, 2005

/s/ WERNER GARTNER

Werner Gartner
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Office